

Approved October 6, 2015

MINUTES OF THE DRAPER CITY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2015, IN THE DRAPER CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 1020 EAST PIONEER ROAD, DRAPER, UTAH.

PRESENT: Mayor Troy Walker (arrived at the meeting at 6:45 p.m.), and Councilmembers Bill Colbert, Bill Rappleye, Jeff Stenquist, Alan Summerhays, and Marsha Vawdrey

STAFF PRESENT: David Dobbins, City Manager; Russ Fox, Assistant City Manager; Mike Barker, City Attorney; and Rhett Ogden, Recreation Director

Work Meeting

Dinner

1.0 Discussion: Recreation Center

5:44:06 PM

2.1 David Dobbins, City Manager, briefed the Council on the obstacles they are up against in terms of the recreation center. They included the following:

- School District partnership
 - Not sure what involvement, if any, will be
- Salt Lake County long-term plan is to put a structure over all twelve of their outdoor pools, including this one
- Determine how many ZAP applications to file
 - New facility
 - Cover pool

5:50:07 PM

2.2 Holli Adams, architect, displayed a concept plan for the Council. She stated that everything they have talked about can easily be accommodated on the site, including soccer or lacrosse fields. She took some of the most popular elements from the last discussion to see what would and would not fit. Tonight she would like the Council to prioritize what they would like in a facility.

5:53:59 PM

2.3 Russ Fox, Assistant City Manager, indicated he has spoken with a consultant about the potential geotechnical issues of the site. From what he knows of the area right now, the sandy soil is the main issue.

5:54:37 PM

2.4 Ms. Adams voiced her desire to get the prioritization done this evening so they can keep moving forward with the process. She reviewed the costs for the various components and expressed her opinion that the County will require some sort of fitness component in the facility.

The Council and staff discussed the various uses for each component. Many of the components could have multi-uses. They also discussed the pros and cons of adding stadium seating to the competition pool as well as locker rooms. The Council then took a few minutes to prioritize their lists. The priority list was broken down as follows:

- Leisure pool
- Fitness (cardio)
- Fitness (multi-use)
- Competition lap pool
- Basketball court (single)
- Track
- Fitness (strength)
- 2nd Fitness or dance multi-purpose room
- Party/Meeting room
- Spinning room
- Tennis courts
- Lap pool spectator seating
- Basketball court (double)

6:34:15 PM

2.5 Mr. Fox indicated he used the high-end numbers for all of these items, and the leisure pool, fitness cardio room, multi-purpose room, and the competition lap pool totaled \$15.6 million.

Councilmember Stenquist recommended they only pick one pool rather than both so they can add more components. The majority of the Council agreed.

Ms. Adams indicated the fitness cardio cost is for space only and does not include the cost of the equipment.

Mr. Fox advised that for \$13.1 million they could do the leisure pool, the fitness cardio room, multi-purpose room, basketball court, and the track. For \$14.9 million they could add the fitness room with strength. For \$15.6 million, they could get a second multi-purpose room.

Ms. Adams stated it is difficult to have cardio without a strength component. They really go hand-in-hand.

Mayor Walker arrived at the meeting at 6:45 p.m.

6:47:15 PM

2.6 Ms. Adams stated from the discussion it seems that the priorities are the leisure pool, cardio fitness room, dance/multi-use room, single basketball court, track, and a strength fitness room. This would total approximately \$14.9 million.

Ms. Adams stated the Sorensen facility has 7,000 square feet of fitness with cardio and strength combined, and they have already asked her how they could add more. That

facility is four years old. The demographic for Herriman is 80,000 build out, but it is not only Herriman residents that use the facility.

[6:55:26 PM](#)

2.7 Mr. Fox advised the Mayor's priorities changed the list as follows:

- Fitness (cardio)
- Leisure pool
- Fitness (multi-use)
- Track
- Basketball court (single)
- Competition lap pool
- Fitness (strength)
- 2nd Fitness or dance multi-purpose room
- Spinning room
- Tennis courts
- Party/Meeting room
- Basketball court (double)
- Lap pool spectator seating

Mr. Fox indicated if they removed the lap pool, they would be able to do everything down to the fitness strength room for approximately \$14.9 million.

[6:58:17 PM](#)

2.8 Ms. Adams indicated her direction is to do aquatics with a leisure pool and toys and using the mid to high range numbers. It is a high priority. The cardio is another priority, so she would keep that in the mid to high range as well. She questioned whether they would prefer one large room or two smaller rooms for the multi-use fitness.

The Council said they would prefer a larger room with dividers.

Ms. Adams indicated 1,600 square feet for a room would fit thirty people.

The Council then discussed utilizing the basketball courts for multi-use.

Ms. Adams stated from her experience, they would be remiss if they did not include at least one gym.

[7:04:04 PM](#)

2.9 Mr. Fox indicated he totaled the components using the low range numbers, and if they did everything at the low cost, they could do everything on the list down to the Party/Meeting room for \$12.3 million, excluding the lap pool. For \$15.6 million, they could add the double basketball court.

Mayor Walker asked whether they could build the basketball court so it could be used for tennis, pickle ball, and multi-use. Ms. Adams explained that tennis and basketball are at odds, but they could have pickle ball, volleyball, and basketball with no problems.

Ms. Adams indicated the low range numbers would decrease the square feet. She suggested they look at doing the mid range numbers. There are some things that are easier to add on later. The pool is not something they can scrimp on in the beginning. The locker rooms need to be added in the beginning as well.

Mr. Fox reworked the numbers using the mid range numbers, they could get the fitness cardio, leisure pool, multi-purpose room, track, single basketball court, fitness strength room, the second multi-purpose room, and the spinning facility. This would cost \$15.74 million.

Ms. Adams indicated indoor tennis is 9,600 square feet minimum for two courts. It does not serve a lot of people even if it is programmed all day. The party room is an important component if they are adding the leisure pool.

The Council and staff discussed the pros and cons of having one high-end gym as opposed to a low-end double gym. The Council discussed removing the tennis from the list and having the two gyms as they would serve more people. They would also look at the mid-range numbers for the items.

Mr. Fox indicated the City has heard the number \$13 million thrown out for the facility, but they could always apply for more than that.

Ms. Adams recommended the Council send this back to the design consultant to try to put together a \$15 million facility that incorporates the amenities the Council has talked about with the ranking they have, in most cases, without looking at tennis.

The group will hold another meeting next Tuesday, September 29, 2015, at 5:30 p.m. to go over the plan.

Ms. Adams advised she will email the information to the Council prior to the Tuesday meeting.

[7:31:51 PM](#)

2.10 Mayor Walker indicated the City would like to hold a special meeting on October 13, 2015, for the Geneva Rezone and have that as the only item on the agenda to allow for the public comments.

Mr. Dobbins advised this is contingent upon Geneva Rock being able to attend the meeting on that date.

Councilmember Colbert recommended they invite someone from the Division of Air Quality to attend the meeting to answer some of the questions the Council has received in reference to the silica.

[7:38:54 PM](#)

2.0 Adjournment

[7:38:57 PM](#)

2.1 Councilmember Stenquist moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilmember Colbert seconded the motion.

[7:39:02 PM](#)

2.2 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Colbert, Rapple, Stenquist, Summerhays, and Vawdrey voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

2.3 The meeting adjourned at 7:39 p.m.