

Approved January 22, 2019

MINUTES OF THE DRAPER CITY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2019, IN THE DRAPER CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 1020 EAST PIONEER ROAD, DRAPER, UTAH

PRESENT: Mayor Troy K. Walker, and Councilmembers Mike Green, Tasha Lowery, Alan Summerhays, Marsha Vawdrey, and Michele Weeks

EXCUSED:

STAFF PRESENT: David Dobbins, City Manager; Mike Barker, City Attorney; Laura Oscarson, City Recorder; Scott Cooley, City Engineer; Hazel Dunsmore, Human Resource Director; John Eining, Police Chief; Russ Fox, Assistant City Manager; Rhett Ogden, Recreation Director; Clint Smith, Fire Chief, and Bob Wylie, Finance Director

Dinner

Study Meeting

1.0 Discussion: Traverse Ridge Special Services District (TRSSD) Interviews – Russ Fox invited the applicants to give a five-minute presentation.

1.1 Amy Baird introduced herself as the chair of the TRSSD. She explained that during the time she had served on the board she had attended every board meeting. She said she provided the meeting minutes detailing the board's finances to the public.

Councilmember Lowery asked what the most challenging part of the job was. Ms. Baird responded the City was difficult to work with due to the lawsuit. Councilmember Lowery asked how often she was contacted by residents. Ms. Baird explained that this depended on how often there were issues. Councilmember Lowery asked what her opinion was on how the B&C road funds should be used. Ms. Baird responded the funds were given to the TRSSD for maintenance. Councilmember Green asked why they had not been used. Ms. Baird responded that they did not know how to use the funds and wanted to resolve the lawsuit before they were used.

Councilmember Vawdrey asked if she was aware of the extra services they needed to pay when she moved into Suncrest. Ms. Baird responded in the affirmative and said there was no complaint if they received proper service. Councilmember Lowery asked if she felt there was more wear on the roads located within TRSSD's jurisdiction. Ms. Baird responded in the affirmative and said she did not believe the altitude or other elements played a role in the roads' conditions.

Councilmember Lowery asked Ms. Baird what her opinion was on Little Valley requesting to leave the TRSSD. Ms. Baird responded there was no reason to keep anyone in the district that did not want to be in the district. She noted they did not receive the same amount of snow as the rest of the TRSSD.

Councilmember Summerhays asked Ms. Baird if she was aware of funds set aside for Deer Ridge Drive, and the TRSSD opting out of improvements on the road. Ms. Baird answered in the affirmative. She explained residents were against those funds because they were intended also for a salt mill.

- 1.2 Calvin Roberts stated that he graduated from Brigham Young University and worked for an investment bank helping large private companies buy or sell other companies. He explained he had a lot of experience with finances. He recently purchased a home in SunCrest and felt he had the qualifications to serve in public office. He wanted to find an amicable solution to the lawsuit.

Councilmember Lowery asked what he hoped to accomplish in public office. Mr. Roberts responded that he wanted to do more constituent outreach. He suggested creating a website to update the district and the City with issues. Councilmember Lowery asked how he would foster a better relationship between SunCrest and Draper. Mr. Roberts responded that he was new to the area and would approach problems with an open mind.

Councilmember Summerhays asked if he had read anything about the litigation. Mr. Roberts responded in the affirmative. He said he understood what the issues were. Councilmember Green asked if he had the emotional maturity to handle outcomes the law decided over what he expected. Mr. Roberts answered in the affirmative. He explained he had dealt with difficult situations that were similar in his personal career.

- 1.3 Dave Auguson explained he had lived in SunCrest for 14 years. He had experienced the snowy roads and the bankruptcy. He said he was frustrated that the decision makers had not been able to come to a common consensus. He said he wanted to have the money spent on the roads. He explained he had worked in retail for many years. He was currently the chairman of the sales executive team for Les Olson Company. He had experience working with government and private employees.

Councilmember Weeks stated that Ms. Baird shouldered most of the responsibility on the board. She asked what he would do to make sure the board ran efficiently. Mr. Auguson said he would use common sense and his skills negotiating to solve issues. Councilmember Green asked if he had the emotional maturity to handle outcomes the law decided over what he expected. Mr. Auguson responded that there were times he had to make difficult decisions. He noted they had to follow the law regardless of how he felt emotionally.

- 1.4 Christine McClory (via telephone call) said she had been a resident of SunCrest since 2007. She explained she had been a delegate for the area. She said she was on the parks and trails committee, worked on changing the lighting standard, worked as a communication professional, and worked as a professional photographer. She said she wanted to bring better outreach to the community, possibly through a website. She was in favor of better communication and information.

Councilmember Lowery asked what role she thought social media would play for public outreach. Ms. McClory responded social media was a good platform to communicate with the public. She suggested they link a Facebook page to a website.

- 1.5 Ben Frederick said he had lived in SunCrest for three years, and he explained that it was the resident's duty to serve the public. He was a marketing manager for a Provo company where he had developed leadership skills. He said the TRSSD could use his expertise to improve its situation. He had made difficult decisions during his professional career.

Councilmember Lowery asked what his priority would be if he was appointed. Mr. Frederick responded he was in favor of improving communication and information. He noted he had a lot of experience creating websites.

Councilmember Weeks asked how his morality would motivate his decision making. Mr. Frederick responded that his morals would determine the best decisions when presented with choices. Councilmember Vawdrey asked how much time he had to provide to the board. Mr. Frederick responded he was committed to making time for the position.

- 1.6 Dan Lucas said he moved to Draper from Oregon. He said he had past involvement with public service and was motivated to volunteer for this position. He said he worked in IT in Cottonwood Heights. He had done a lot of research for newspaper articles.

Councilmember Lowery asked what his priority was for the TRSSD. Mr. Lucas responded he loved the area and wanted to provide it with excellent service.

2.0 Discussion: Traffic Study Impact Fee – Fred Philpot, Lewis Young Robertson & Burningham

- 2.1 Mr. Philpot presented traffic impact fees as determined by the study. He explained the process by which the impact fees were created. He discussed demand and current levels of service for the existing facilities. Councilmember Summerhays asked how they split the fees between the businesses and residents. Mr. Philpot explained that they used a demand variable based on the land use types. They were planning on a City-wide service area. He explained they gathered data to analyze trip statistics. This was important to determine the fees to specific areas.

Mr. Philpot explained that there was a lot of estimated value in the City's existing transportation system. They would determine the most critical areas that needed improvements by using trip statistics. He noted that their analysis excluded the prison development. He discussed the City's infrastructure demand, fee estimates, and the financial impact of the fees.

Councilmember Summerhays asked who brought this item to the Council's attention. Mr. Dobbins stated that he was the one who wanted to discuss the matter. Councilmember Weeks asked how inflation played a part into their fee structure. Mr. Philpot explained

that they were estimating the current cost based on project due date. He then reviewed other options that were available to the City to handle future inflation.

3.0 Report: Parks and Recreation CIP – Rhett Ogden

3.1 Mr. Ogden reported that Suncrest Park was progressing well. Councilmember Weeks asked about vandalism. Mr. Ogden said there was some vandalism on the pickleball court. He then noted the weather had made it difficult to finish the project. They would finish two parks soon and would have more ball parks available for the City. Councilmember Weeks asked about a potential dog park. Mr. Ogden said they intended to build another dog park in the City and he subsequently identified a piece of land where this was possible.

Councilmember Summerhays asked if they would install a fence to keep the dogs within the dog park. Mr. Ogden answered in the affirmative. Councilmember Weeks asked if it was possible to light the park at night. Mr. Ogden said yes and explained the lights would be LED which would be less offensive to neighbors.

Business Meeting

1.0 Call to Order: Mayor Troy K. Walker

2.0 Thought/Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance

2.1 Pastor Nate of Corner Canyon Church offered the prayer.

2.2 Fire Chief, Clint Smith, led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3.0 Public Comments

To be considerate of everyone attending the meeting, public comments will be restricted to items not listed on this or a future agenda and limited to three minutes per person. Comments which cannot be made within these limits should be submitted in writing to the City Recorder prior to noon the day before the meeting. Comments pertaining to an item on the agenda should not be given at this time but should be held until that item is called.

3.1 Reed Gibby, resident, was concerned the Council was not receiving information as fast as possible. He said the Council was not conducting the public hearing protocol correctly and thought the Council could be receiving more information.

3.2 Harold Peterson, resident, said the City had built a lot of trails and spent a lot of money on recreation but not on a new cemetery. He asked the Council to consider a cemetery as many residents wanted one in Draper.

4.0 Consent Items

- a. **Approval of December 11, 2018, City Council Meeting Minutes**
- b. **Approval of Agreement #19-01**

- c. **Approval of Agreement #19-03**
- d. **Approval of Resolution #19-03, Amending the Consolidated Fee Schedule**
- e. **Approval of Resolution #19-05, Appointing Alan Summerhays as the Draper City Representative on the South Salt Lake County Mosquito Abatement District.**

Councilmember Weeks moved to consider Item D from the Consent Items separately. Councilmember Vawdrey seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, Vawdrey and Weeks voting in favor.

- 4.1 **Councilmember Weeks moved to approve the consent items A, B, C and E. Councilmember Vawdrey seconded the motion.**
- 4.2 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**
- 4.3 Councilmember Weeks asked how the rental fees worked and what its parameters were. David Dobbins, City Manager, explained the fee schedule required the City to charge anyone who used the City's facilities. The fees could not be waived without an official fee waiver. Councilmember Weeks asked how much it cost to rent City facilities, to which Mr. Dobbins noted it was a \$50 deposit. For later meetings the cost \$50 for the first two hours and \$20 for each additional hour. They also charged a \$12 per hour custodian fee. For private parties the cost is \$50. Councilmember Weeks noted their elected officials had enough money to pay for these meetings. She said she was concerned the taxpayers were paying these bills. Mr. Dobbins said they proposed they would only allow these meetings during work hours. Councilmember Weeks asked if this could be used for a town hall meeting during the campaign season. Mr. Dobbins responded it could not be used for campaigning purposes, but they could hold a town hall meeting.
- 4.4 **Councilmember Vawdrey moved to approve Consent Item D. Councilmember Summerhays seconded the motion.**
- 4.5 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, and Vawdrey voted in favor. The motion passed by 4 to 1.**
- 5.0 **Action Item: Resolution #19-04, Appointing 3 Members to the Traverse Ridge Special Services District Administrative Control Board.**
- 5.1 **Councilmember Summerhays moved to postpone this action to a date certain. Councilmember Lowery seconded the motion. This item will be continued at the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting.**
- 5.2 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

6.0 Public Hearing: Ordinance #1374 and Ordinance #1375, Mountain Ridge Estates MPC Zone Change, Zoning Text Amendment and Development Agreement. On the request of Shaun Michel, representing Michel Land, LLC, for approval of a Zoning Map and Zoning Text Amendment creating a new Master Planned Community (MPC) zone and rezoning approximately 83 acres at about 2100 East Lake Bluff Drive to the new MPC zone along with a development agreement.

6.1 Mr. Boles explained this ordinance was denied in August and the developer had since reapplied with a new plan. He discussed the new zoning map and presented it using the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. The project would be strictly single-family homes. He reviewed the previous plans seen by the Planning Commission and the Council. The plan was about 30% open space. He also reviewed the proposed text amendment and master development agreement. The Planning Commission forwarded a positive recommendation to the City Council.

6.2 Bruce Baird, speaking on behalf of the applicant, thanked Mr. Boles and staff for their work on the development. He said there was discussion about density and character of the proposal. He had asked Mr. Wolverton to measure the density of the surrounding developments and it was determined that this project had a lower density than its neighbors. He said it would not be out of character to the rest of SunCrest. He also noted there were incursions into 30% slopes on the neighboring developments which was due to developing on the mountain side. He noted there were gates to the development as suggested by the Council. He also mentioned they proposed reducing the amount of onsite processing and would allow the City to monitor activity.

6.3 Troy Wolverton, speaking on behalf of the applicant, discussed the areas that would be disturbed. He presented a map showing the areas that would be graded for roads.

Councilmember Lowery asked if there was another way to put in the roads without disturbing the terrain. Mr. Wolverton answered in the negative. He explained how these roads needed to be built to be stable. Councilmember Green noted they could lower the number of units and avoid the amount of grading they were planning.

6.4 Kyle Honeycutt, resident, said he met with Councilmember Lowery to discuss this issue. Additionally, he met with residents to mitigate possible drainage issues. They also discussed the access points to the development. He said he was concerned about the lot depths. He noted the City's wall ordinances was different than other cities. The geology test needed to be done to determine if the development would affect the surrounding properties. Mr. Honeycutt stated he was not convinced they were ready to move on to the next step of the development without scientific testing.

6.5 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing.

6.6 Steven Paul stated he was an attorney representing property owners adjacent to the development. He said there was discussion about drainage, disturbance issues, and lot

- sizes. He explained some of the lots could potentially create problems for surrounding properties. He said these properties should be adjusted to mitigate those risks. Additionally, there was also concern about surface water runoff, to which Mr. Paul noted there was a proposed plan to mitigate this risk.
- 6.7 Tom Faucheux, resident, said he lived next to the proposed development and was concerned with the detention pond, as it was located directly above his home. He asked that when the design was submitted, that it be built to mitigate risks to him and his neighbors. He was also concerned about the traffic on Wing Bluff Lane, explaining that the development would add more traffic on a narrow street.
- 6.8 Linda Noach, resident, asked why they were changing the zoning. She noted it was purchased as a commercial property and keeping it zoned as such would avoid many of these issues. She explained Suncrest lost property and money due to engineering errors and these problems would be paid for by the taxpayers. She asked that they consider the geological studies before moving forward with the development. She was also concerned about the area losing its vegetation, rock crushing, and lot sizes.
- 6.9 Greg Gold, resident, said he lived on the north east side of the proposed property. He thanked everyone who had worked on the project and for making changes to appease the neighbors. He noted this property would cause a major change to the surrounding developments. The snow drift would change when the trees and foliage were removed. He asked that they consider the effect of stripping the land to the surrounding developments. Mr. Gold also noted there were fewer people in attendance due to the holidays. He thought they were moving in the right direction, but the development still needed attention.
- 6.10 Edison DeMarco, resident, said he was concerned that Lots 32 and 36 were less than 100 feet wide and 400 feet long. He explained the slopes and retaining walls would cause issues for the drainage and the surrounding properties.
- 6.11 Reed Gibby, resident, said he was concerned about the development being built on top of a slope with retaining walls. He thought this could be dangerous if there was an earthquake. There could also be issues with ice penetration during the winter. He asked that the development fit the terrain.
- 6.12 Kevin Ellis, resident, said he lived in the drainage path of the proposed development. He noted there had been many geological studies done on his property. He had a background in architecture and the proposal was misleading. He noted he was concerned about the safety of the developments below the proposed property.
- 6.13 Jeff Jones, resident, stated he lived adjacent to the proposed property. He said he was pleased with the progress made on the proposal. However, he was concerned about the developer asking for approval before doing additional due diligence. There was little recourse after the approval was made. He noted it was not the Council's responsibility to maximize the developer's profits.

6.14 Mayor Walker closed the public hearing.

6.15 Councilmember Weeks asked what could currently be built on the property. Mr. Fox responded there was a large list of uses that were available including banks, shopping centers, assisted living homes, and other businesses. Councilmember Green noted they would be limited to the restrictions of the commercial zone. The proposal would allow the developer to make their zone fit their development.

Councilmember Weeks said she was concerned about the amount of traffic in this area if they allowed a commercial property. Mr. Fox responded there might be some limitations to the commercial properties, but it could cause more traffic. Councilmember Weeks stated that single-family homes would create significantly less traffic.

6.16 Mr. Baird said they intended to comply with the points brought up by Mr. Paul. As developers, they would always comply with State and Federal laws. He said they did not intend to build a detention basin on geological hazardous location; they intended to comply with the geological hazard conditions. He noted the roads would be private but built to the City's standards. They would not be investigating the geological issues now because there was too much land to cover. They needed to have a plan before they could test the area. If the land was deemed unsafe, they would not build. He also noted the rock crusher would be several hundred feet away from any home and would comply with the City's noise ordinances. If they violated the development agreement, they would lose the rights. He said they were willing to work on best management processes during the development. In response to Mr. DeMarco's concern about the long lots, Mr. Baird said there were no plans to build on these lots. He addressed the drainage, the retaining walls, the design, and engineering. He said they had not manipulated the map to be misleading.

Councilmember Lowery asked why they did not design the property to look more like the adjacent development. Mr. Baird responded the topography was different than the adjacent development. Councilmember Weeks asked if they had addressed all the concerns brought up by the residents. Mr. Baird responded that they had not thoroughly responded to every concern.

6.17 Mr. Boles noted which roads would be private and which would be public. He also noted where the gates would be located.

6.18 Councilmember Lowery thanked Mr. Honeycutt for his work on this project.

6.19 Councilmember Lowery moved to continue Ordinance #1374 and Ordinance #1375, Mountain Ridge Estates MPC Zone Change, Zoning Text Amendment and Development Agreement to a date certain. Councilmember Green seconded the motion. This item will be continued on the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting.

6.20 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

- *** Councilmember Weeks left the meeting.
- *** The City Council took a recess at 8:32 p.m.
- *** The City Council reconvened at 8:47 p.m.

7.0 Public Hearing: Ordinance #1376 and Ordinance #1377, Lien Zoning and Land Use Map Amendments, on the request of Troy Dana and TJ McLelland, representing Don Lien, for a zoning map amendment from RA1 to R3 and a land use map amendment from Residential Low/Medium Density to Residential Medium Density on 3.68 acres of property located generally at 12729 South 1300 East.

7.1 Jennifer Jastremsky, Planner, identified where the property was located and explained that the applicant wanted to change the land use density. If this plan was approved the applicant would have to address the subdivision code. She noted there was existing R3 zoning in the area. The lot sizes were more conducive to the R3 zoning. She stated the Planning Commission forwarded a positive recommendation.

Troy Dana, representing the applicant, stated the property was conducive to third acre lots. Half acre lots would be too difficult in this area. He explained this was the best use of the property and they received a lot of support from neighbors. He noted many of the properties were close to half acre lots.

7.2 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing.

7.3 TJ McLelland, representing the applicant, stated their goal was to obtain approval for the applicant. This would then provide him with his retirement dreams. He explained this was a unique property and it no longer served the applicant as it now presided.

7.4 Mike Doland, resident, stated he lived east of the proposal. He said this was a good design and asked that the Council approve the property. There were no grade issues.

7.6 Earl Peterson, resident, stated he lived near the proposed property. He said he also approved of the proposal.

7.7 Mayor Walker closed the public hearing.

7.8 Councilmember Summerhays said he had recently been looking for homes in Draper for his children. He explained it was difficult to find just a lot for sale. He liked this development because the applicant would receive the profits. He noted it would be in character with the surrounding developments.

7.9 Mayor Walker asked if there was any plan to connect a road to the adjacent property. Mr. Dana responded there were no current plans, but they would discuss the possibility.

7.10 Councilmember Summerhays moved to approve Ordinance #1376 and Ordinance #1377, Lien Zoning and Land Use Map Amendments. The motion failed due to

lack of a second. This item will be continued to the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting for action.

8.0 Public Hearing: Ordinance #1371, Day Dairy CSD Text Amendment, on the request of Adam Lankford, representing Wasatch Residential Group, for approval of a text amendment to 9-18E of the Draper Municipal Code to allow additional housing in the CSD-DD zone.

8.1 Mr. Boles presented the staff report and an aerial map of the subject area. He explained that there were changes made since the last time the Council saw the proposal. For example, they were reducing the number of units. He discussed the proposed text and the changes. The Planning Commission forwarded a positive recommendation to the City Council.

8.2 Adam Lankford, applicant, stated their goal was to create a commercial property. He explained they reduced the density, increased the parking, lowered the building heights, changed the building types, and conducted a traffic study. He presented the site plan and showed how the buildings and the open spaces would look. Their goal was to create outdoor gathering places.

Councilmember Lowery said she was concerned about the amount of people using the green space. She said they would get more use out of these areas if they were closer to the commercial area. Mr. Lankford agreed. Councilmember Green asked if they were for sale or rent. Mr. Lankford responded they were for rent.

8.3 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing.

8.4 Armando Alvarez, resident, said he lived adjacent to the proposed property. He explained he was applying for a project on his property and was not allowed to move forward without two-car garages and driveways. He wanted to be treated the same as the developer and hoped they would be required to have a two-car garage and a driveway.

8.5 Mr. Lankford stated that each unit had a two-car garage. He said there were no driveways in the project. There were approaches to the driveways.

8.6 Mayor Walker closed the public hearing.

8.7 Councilmember Lowery asked if Mr. Lankford included any offices in this development. Mr. Lankford said they did not plan on including offices.

Mr. Boles explained that Mr. Alvarez lived in an OR zone and this development would be built in a CSD zone.

8.8 Councilmember Summerhays moved to approve Ordinance #1371, Day Dairy CSD Text Amendment. There was no second. This item will be continued to next regularly scheduled Council meeting.

9.0 Public Hearing: Provide Local Consent for a Limited Service Alcohol License for New York Pizza Patrol.

9.1 Christina Oliver, Community Development Director, explained there was a new owner, so they were required to acquire an Alcohol License. She noted the restaurant met all the requirements.

9.2 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing. No one came forward to speak so the Mayor closed the public hearing.

9.3 Councilmember Green moved to Provide Local Consent for a Limited Service Alcohol License for New York Pizza Patrol. Councilmember Lowery seconded the motion.

9.4 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

10.0 Public Hearing: Ordinance #1379, Vacating public right-of-way west of Bangerter Highway along 13800 South.

10.1 Scott Cooley, Public Works Director, identified the location of the right-of-way in question. The City had acquired two pieces of property that could only be used for a right-of-way. They were being vacated by the City. When asked by Councilmember Summerhays who would acquire the property, Mr. Cooley said UDOT would acquire the property.

10.2 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing. No one came forward to speak so the Mayor closed the public hearing.

10.3 Councilmember Green moved to approve Ordinance #1379, Vacating public right-of-way west of Bangerter Highway along 13800 South. Councilmember Lowery seconded the motion.

10.4 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, and Vawdrey voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

11.0 Public Hearing: Ordinance #1378, Vacating public right-of-way at the west of 13490 South in the area of 600 West.

11.1 Mr. Cooley explained this right-of-way was also being vacated by the City.

11.2 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing.

11.3 Mr. Benjamin, resident, asked why they did not sell it to the adjacent property owner.

11.4 Mayor Walker closed the public hearing.

11.5 Councilmember Green asked what the purpose was of selling the property. Mr. Cooley said the City was responsible for its maintenance and it had become a liability.

Councilmember Summerhays asked how the land was acquired. Mr. Cooley said it was probably acquired from UDOT in the past. Councilmember Summerhays asked why they did not keep it until they knew they could not sell the property. Mr. Cooley explained that staff already knew it was unusable.

11.6 **Councilmember Green moved to approve Ordinance #1378, Vacating public right-of-way at the west of 13490 South in the area of 600 West. Councilmember Vawdrey seconded the motion.**

11.7 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, and Vawdrey voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

12.0 **Public Hearing: Lone Peak Parkway Vacation Plat Amendment. On the request of Draper City for approval of a street vacation to Lone Peak Parkway and plat amendments to TK Acres Amended No. 2 Subdivision, TK Acres Amended Subdivision, Greenfield Farms Phase 2 Amended Subdivision and Ikea Retail Subdivision. The vacation includes eight locations along Lone Peak Parkway and a total of 0.482 acres of property. The general location is 13102 South 135 West.**

12.1 Jennifer Jastremsky, Planner, explained this item was similar to the last item. She noted this item affected a plat, so they were forced to create a plat amendment. Using the aid of a PowerPoint presentation, she explained how the road changes created a situation to vacate these properties.

Councilmember Summerhays asked if the City purchased these properties initially. Ms. Jastremsky said she did not know but guessed that they were probably dedicated to the City as a part of the initial plat amendments. Councilmember Summerhays asked when the new alignment was made. Ms. Jastremsky said the alignment was done this summer.

12.2 **Mayor Walker opened the public hearing**

12.3 Jeff Anderson, resident, said he lived near the proposed vacated properties. He was in favor of the City vacating the properties.

12.4 **Councilmember Lowery moved to approve the Lone Peak Parkway Vacation Plat Amendment. Councilmember Green seconded the motion.**

12.5 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, and Vawdrey, voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

13.0 **Action Item: Approval of Resolution #19-01, Appointing Mayor Pro Tempore**

13.1 Councilmember Vawdrey moved to approve Councilmember Lowery as Mayor Pro Tempore. Councilmember Green seconded the motion.

13.2 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, and Vawdrey, voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

14.0 Action Item: Resolution #19-02, Approving the 2019 Council Assignment List

14.1 Mayor Walker read the assignment list and assigned Councilmembers and the Mayor to the various boards, commissions, and agencies.

14.2 Councilmember Summerhays moved to approve the 2019 Council Assignment List. Councilmember Green seconded the motion.

14.3 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, and Vawdrey, voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

15.0 Council/Manager Reports

15.1 Councilmember Green asked if they could create a motorized dirt bike trail. The answer provided was no.

15.2 Councilmember Lowery reported she had an incident with coyotes and said the residents needed to be aware of these animals. Chief Eining said the funds they received for racoons and skunk abatement could be used to trap coyotes.

15.3 Councilmember Lowery said she had received requests for lights at the dog park. Mr. Ogden said there might be complaints, but they could ask for estimates. Mayor Walker said he did not want to have lights at the dog park. Councilmember Lowery suggested closing the park at dark.

Councilmember Lowery suggested they put in lighting at another dog park in a more appropriate location in the City. Mayor Walker asked for volunteers to investigate lights at Dayland Dog Park.

15.4 Councilmember Lowery asked about the fog lights on Traverse Ridge Road. Mr. Cooley said they would orient the lights correctly. He explained the concrete barrier attachments were received recently and would be installed soon.

15.5 Councilmember Vawdrey explained that a resident on Willow Spring Lane complained about people running stop signs. Mr. Cooley said staff had discussed this issue and would propose solutions.

15.6 Councilmember Vawdrey reported the street light on 600 East blinked all night and it was very distracting. Mr. Cooley said he would investigate the problem.

15.7 Councilmember Summerhays explained people were running yellow and red lights on 13000 East 10400 South. Chief Eining said he would investigate the problem.

14.0 Recess to Closed Meeting

14.1 Councilmember Summerhays moved to recess to Closed Meeting. Councilmember Lowery seconded the motion.

14.2 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Green, Lowery, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

14.3 The City Council recessed into closed meeting at 10:03 p.m.